

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERC United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO. 8152
09/681,099	09/681,099 01/04/2001 20915 7590 09/30/2004		Richard E. Vogel	70254-328	
20915				EXAMINER	
MCGARR` 171 MONRO SUITE 600 GRAND RA	DE AVE	NUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 09/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Notification of Non-Compliance With 37 CFR 1.192(c)

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
09/681,099	VOGEL ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joseph C. Merek	3727			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

The Appeal Brief filed on <u>09 June 2004</u> is defective for failure to comply with one or more provisions of 37 CFR 1.192(c). See MPEP § 1206.

To avoid dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file IN TRIPLICATE a complete new brief in compliance with 37 CFR 1.192(c) within the longest of any of the following three TIME PERIODS: (1) ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from the mailing date of this Notification, whichever is longer; (2) TWO MONTHS from the date of the notice of appeal; or (3) within the period for reply to the action from which this appeal was taken. EXTENSIONS OF THESE TIME PERIODS MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136.

1.		The brief does not contain the items required under 37 CFR 1.192(c), or the items are not under the proper heading or in the proper order.
2.		The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, pending or cancelled, or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 1.192(c)(3)).
3.		At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 1.192(c)(4)).
4.		The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the claimed invention, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawing, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 1.192(c)(5)).
5.		The brief does not contain a concise statement of the issues presented for review (37 CFR 1.192(c)(6)).
6.	$\boxtimes$	A single ground of rejection has been applied to two or more claims in this application, and
	(a)	the brief omits the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet presents arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
	(b)	the brief includes the statement required by 37 CFR 1.192(c)(7) that one or more claims do not stand or fall together, yet does not present arguments in support thereof in the argument section of the brief.
7.		The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each issue on appeal (37 CFR 1.192(c)(8)).
8.		The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 1.192(c)(9)).
9.	$\boxtimes$	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

In the brief, claim 29 is grouped with claim 28. Claim 29 depends from claim 26 and has different limitations than claim 28. Applicant does not give arguments as to why claim 29 is separately patentable from claim 26. Applicant states in the brief that claim 29 is patentable for the same reasons as claim 26. Claim 29 should be grouped with claim 26 or applicant must present arguments as to why claim 29 is seprately patentable from claim 26. Applicant is advised that any subsequent briefmust conform to the new appeal rules which took effect September 13, 2004. The following link to the USPTO web site for more information regarding the rule changes: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/dcom/bpai/fr2004/moreinfo.html

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-462 (Rev. 3-98)